METHODS FOR RENEWAL AND PROLONGING LIFETIME OF EXISTING WASTES DISPOSAL LANDFILLS IN JAPAN

M.KUWABARA*, H.KOKUBO**, N.USUI° AND S. NAKAMURA°°

- * Environmental Engineering Division, Fudo Construction Co., Ltd. Tokyo, Japan
- **Environment Business Division, Penta-Ocean Construction Co., Ltd. Tokyo, Japan
- ° Ecology Division, Taisei Construction Co., Ltd. Tokyo, Japan
- °°Environmental Engineering Division, Kajima Construction Co., Ltd. Tokyo, Japan

SUMMARY: Waste disposal landfills currently under operation in Japan are nearly full. Therefore, various attempts to reutilize and prolong the life time of existing waste disposal landfills were conducted by many municipal governments and researchers in Japan. In this paper, applicable technologies for reutilization and prolonging the lifetime of general waste disposal landfills were investigated and summarized into five (5) methods to renew and prolong the lifetime of existing waste disposal landfills. These methods can increase the effective capacity of landfill by reducing the volume of damped disposal, and/or without any large-scaled renovation. The outline, effectiveness and applicability of the five (5) methods, followed by the flow-chart to determine the proper method are described.

1. INTRODUCTION

The landfills currently under operation in Japan will be filled up in several years time and it became to be quite difficult to construct newly proposed landfills, but new waste disposal landfills are increasingly difficult to construct due to the difficulty of acquiring land mainly because of the concern of local residents on safety and rises in land prices accompanying urbanization. Promising methods for renovating waste disposal landfills include reuse and reutilization of waste disposal landfills that have been closed and prolonging the lifetime of existing landfills by renewing the landfills and increasing landfill capacity. Therefore, development of methods is awaited for reutilizing and prolonging the lifetime of existing waste disposal landfills.

In this study, applicable technologies for reutilization and prolonging the lifetime of general waste disposal landfills were investigated. Methods for increasing the remaining capacities of landfills were classified into five groups, such as those that involve reducing waste volume and utilizing existing facilities; and characteristics, effects and applications were summarized for each group. A flow for selecting appropriate methods is also proposed, which considers the applicability of these technologies and the related laws and regulations of Japan.

2. BRIEF HISTORY AND REGULATIONS ON REUTILIZATION AND PROLONGING THE LIFETIME OF DISPOSAL LANDFILLS IN JAPAN

2.1 Breif history

Brief histry of reutilization and prolonging the lifetime of disposal landfills in Japan is shown in Table 1. Attempts to reutilize and prolong the lifetime of disposal landfills started in Japan in the 1980s. It has just only 25 years history. But various attempts to reutilize and prolong the life time of existing disposal landfills were conducted in this term by many municipal governments and researchers in Japan.

2.2 Laws and regulations in Japan

In Japan, approval must be obtained to construct final disposal sites of wastes according to the Waste Disposal and Public Cleansing Law. The law also demands improvements of existing landfill sites to be reported following a procedure similar to that required for constructing a new landfill unless the improvement does not involve changes in major facilities and the changes in scale are equal to or less than 10%.

To reutilize and prolong the lifetime of a waste disposal landfill, the improvement must ensure remaining capacity of over 5 years. The landfills must be equipped with bottom liners and leachate treatment equipment that are in compliance with regulations and laws.

Environmental assessment should also be performed before construction except for cases that the construction of landfill would obviously cause no impacts on the peripheral environments. Wastes that are once filled in a final disposal site cannot be transported to outside the site in principle. When moving the wastes outside the site, detailed deliberation is necessary. Application of measures for reutilizing and prolonging the lifetime of existing landfills should be decided based on these laws.

Table 1. Brief histry of reutilization and prolonging the lifetime of disposal landfills in Japan

1980's	Attempts to reutilize and prolong the lifetime of disposal landfills started.
	Methods for prolonging the lifetime were discussed aiming to prepare for a then future issue. (Hanajima,M.(1981),Oshikata,T.(1991)).
1990's	Reduction in volume of wastes in landfills by excavating and treating wastes was proposed as a method for better utilizing existing waste disposal landfills (Ministry of Health and Welfare (1992)). A reutilization method of waste disposal landfills were reported
2000's	(Higuchi, S. et al. (1992)). The government started making policies to promote reutilization and prolonging the lifetime of waste disposal landfills.
	Practical measures started, such as melting wastes to appropriately use an existing landfill (Isahaya City (2003)) and to prolong the lifetime of existing landfills (Kameyama City (2003)).

3. TECHNOLOGIES FOR REUTILIZING AND PROLONGING THE LIFETIME OF WASTE

3.1 Classification of technologies

In this study, applicable technologies for reutilization and prolonging the lifetime of general waste disposal landfills were selected from above mentioned attempts and idealized techniques, and classified them into following five groups, as shown in Table 2.

Technologies for reutilizing and prolonging the lifetime of waste disposal landfills can be broadly classified into those that reduce the volume of already disposed wastes (volume-reducing methods) and those that expand the capacity of landfill sites (site-expansion methods).

Volume-reducing methods include those that involve compression of wastes and those that reduce volume by re-treating wastes. Site-expansion methods include those that rise the height of banks and those that involve renovation of leachate treatment equipment and site bottom liners. There is a method that involves transfer of wastes from a point to another in a landfill site to maximize the capacity. This method is a combination of the re-treatment and renovation methods, but is included in the site-expansion methods in this study.

The following sections describe construction flows, effects, applicability, problems, construction methods and examples of the volume-reducing and site-expansion methods.

3.2 Volume-reducing methods

3.2.1 Compression methods

In compression method, the volume of wastes is reduced by physically applying external force. There is no need to obtain permission for alterlation on this method. Photograph of construction, effects of volume reduction, applicability, problems of this metod are shown in Table 3.

In this method, loading method, turning rolling compaction method, static compression method, vibratory tamper method and heavy tamping method are used to compress and consolidate the existing filled wastes. One example of the site applied compression method is shown in Table 3. Heavy tamping method is adopted in this site. Technology control to be performed while applying these compression methods should include confirmation of effects and assessment of impacts on peripheral environments and facilities in the landfill sites. Assessment items include settlement of the landfill surface before and during construction and measurements and tests, such as standard penetration tests. Impacts of peripheral environment should be assessed for

Table 2. Classification of applicable technologies for reutilization and prolonging the lifetime of general waste disposal landfills

Broad□class	Subclass	Brief explanation
Volume reducing methods	Compression method	Densification by tamping and/or
		vibratory force.
	Retreatment method	Sorting by which the particle size of
		the disposal can be workably
		classified and readjusted.
Site expansion methods	Raising banks	Overlay on the existing landfill.
	Renovation method	Extension of the existing landfill.
	Waste-reinterment method	Relocation by transporting the
		disposal within the given area.

Table 3. Principal characteristics of compression method

Photograph of	
construction	
	And the same of th
Effects	Reduces volume by 15 to 30%.
Applicability	Applicable conditions:
	Thickness of waste-filled layer→ thick
	Groundwater level→low
	Possibility of broken bottom liner→small
	□Nearby structure→none
	Applicable to large-size wastes and muck.
	Difficult to apply to highly elastic wastes and wastes of high water contents.
Problems	Assessment of effects of compression on the stabilization of filled wastes.
	Methods that consider the properties and configurations of wastes and
	arrangement of facilities.
	Durability of facilities in landfill sites of prolonged lifetime.




Figure 1. Monitored settlement and impact energy (added to Higuchi, S. (2002))

vibration, noise, gas generation, hoisting and scattering of wastes, water level of leachate, and effects to equipment.

Figure 1 shows the relationship between impact energy E and the mean settlement S of the ground surface monitored during major applications. This figure shows that the application of the methods resulted in mean settlement of 1 to 3 m although they varied in improvement depth and waste composition. The settlement was equivalent to 15% to 30% in compression ratio, and the methods were found to be effective for reducing the volume of filled wastes.

3.2.2 Re-treatment methods

Principal characteristics of classifying wastes are shown in Table 3. There is no need to obtain permission for alterlation on this method, but wastes cannot be transported outside the landfill site.

Machines used to classify wastes include trommel screens, vibration screens, air and vibration classifiers, and shaking separators. The separated solid wastes are further separated into metals using magnetic selectors and into combustibles and non-combustibles by hand. Selection of wastes is schematically shown in Figure 2. The mesh sizes and types of sieves should be determined so as to be most appropriate for reusing the soil fraction. Machines should be selected by considering amount of waste to be excavated and places to install the machines (either at the site of excavation or at a separate classification yard). In re-treatment methods, impacts on peripheral environments must be controlled, such as vibration, noise, dust, smell, and gas, as well as the quality of waste water, safety and hygiene of workers, effects on related facilities during excavation, and the types and quantity of wastes.

Table 3. Principal characteristics of re-treatment method

Schematic diagram	Incinerating, melting, and recycling Backfilling Equipment for preventing
	Leachate treatment environmental pollution
Effects	Reduces volume by 30 to 50%.
Applicability	Appropriate for landfill sites where the wastes are uniform and contain much
	recyclable and combustible wastes rather than sites that contain wastes of
	various kinds and various properties.
Problems	Measures to prevent scattering of wastes and generation of dust and gases.
	Stabilization of slopes during excavation.
	Measures for treating leachate.
	Prevention of bottom liner from breaking.




Figure 2. Classification of wastes(Nikkei construction(2002))

A landfill site for industrial wastes was re-treated by excavating wastes, separating soil from the wastes using trommel screens, and removing plastic wastes using air classifiers. Separation of soil alone resulted in a volume reduction of about 40% (Nikkei Construction(2002)).

3.3 Site-expansion methods

3.3.1 Raising banks

As shown in Table 4, banks are raised either by raising the height of existing banks or by constructing new banks on existing landfill. Since the method requires intensive changes, permission of alteration must be obtained, the process of which is similar to the process of obtaining permission for constructing new landfill site. Landfill sites should be first confirmed that they are in compliance with the regulations and laws now in effect. When the expansion would result in prolonged use of the landfill site, the period prolongs for the entire landfill site to stabilize, and facilities and equipment should be examined for the durability during the lifetime of the site. Fast stabilization should be attempted by promoting the stabilization of the lower existing landfill site. The upper landfill site to be newly constructed must comply with regulations in effect.

In Japan, banks for general waste landfill (control-type landfill) are planned to be constructed on a closed landfill site for industrial wastes (stable-type landfill). In France and Korea, banks have been continuously raised to fill wastes. In Korea, there are banks that have been raised to 100 m (LSA,Ed.Handbook (1999)).

3.3.2 Renovation method

As shown in Table 5, the renovation method consists of either involving supplementary measures or no supplementary measures. When the bottom liners of existing landfill are in compliance with the regulations in effect, supplementary measures are not necessary. When the bottom liners of existing landfill are not in compliance, supplementary measures must be taken.

New banks are constructed around the expanded land section. Bottom liners that are in compliance with the standards and regulations in effects shall be spread on the waste filling section, and leachate treatment equipment is constructed. The bottom liners of existing landfill are renovated into those that comply with the standards and regulations in effect. After that, the procedures in no supplementary measures are taken.

3.3.3 Waste-reinterment method

As shown in Table 5, the waste-reinterment method, there are cases in which (1) there is one filling space for one waste-removing space, and (2) there are more than one filling space for one waste-removing space, or there are more than one waste-removing space for one filling space. In all cases, the method involves the following process.

The site where the wastes are to be removed is treated as in the re-treatment method. Where there are more than one space to which the wastes are to filled in, the wastes are divided after surveying the wastes. At the site where the wastes are filled, the wastes are consolidated and compacted, although this process depends on the composition of the wastes.

This method is applicable only when there is space for temporarily storing excavated wastes and filling the wastes within a landfill site from which the wastes are to be removed. When the spaces are not in a single site, permission must be obtained to change the border of the site. Wastes that had been filled inappropriately before 1977 can be transported outside the site.

Table 4. Principal characteristics of raising banks


Schematic diagram	Expanded section Site bottom liner equivalent to double liner Schematic diagram of landfill expansion	
Effects	The capacity can be increased without acquiring extra land.	
Applicability	The existing landfill must comply with the standards and regulations in effect to raise the height of existing banks. Stabilization should be promoted to construct new banks.	
Problems	Consensus of residents must be obtained again. Settlement of the ground and impacts on facilities due to increases in load must be assessed. Appropriate stabilization control of existing landfill and stabilization promotion measures are needed to construct new dams on existing landfills.	

Table 5. Principal characteristics of renovation method

Degassing pipe
Bank of the expanded section
Wastes (increase in capacity) Wastes treatment Facility for collecting leachate Bottom liner Bottom liner(of the existing landfill) Leachate adjusting facility Facility for collecting leachate (of the expanded section) Facility for collecting leachate (of the expanded section)
Large capacity can be obtained by expanding the land and renovating facilities.
The method cannot be applied for landfill sites with no bottom liners.
Leachate treatment equipment should be renovated since the amount of rain water that seeps through the waste layer increases as the landfill area increases. Capping measures are also needed. The period of maintaining and controlling bottom liners and related facilities should be prolonged and their performances should be ensured for the period since the service life of the landfill site is prolonged.

Table 5. Principal characteristics of renovation method

Schematic	Filling To leachate treatment equipment	
diagram	Wastes Leachate Effective use of Transferring recyclable materials Site from which wastes are removed (existing landfill site or temporary waste storage)	
Effects	The method can reduce the volume of wastes and renovate the space from which wastes are to be removed, enabling capacity of the entire landfill site to increase and its lifetime to be prolonged. The method enables landfill sites to be integrated and renovated.	
Applicability	The method is effective when applied to landfill sites whose waste volume decreases greatly by the application.	
Problems	The same problems as in the re-treatment method would be encountered at waste-excavating site during excavation and classification of wastes. There are also technical issues and legal restrictions involved in transportation methods and temporary storage facilities. The same problems as in the renovation method would be encountered to renovate the space to fill in wastes.	



Regend:

A: Non-combustible and large-size garbage fill

B: Incinerator ash fill

C: Resource residual fill

I: New landfill space I (Reinterment from C)

II: New landfill space II (Reinterment from A)

The treatment of incinerator ash is entrusted to a subcontractor.

Figure 3. Example of waste-reinterment method(added to Sasai,H(2001))

A landfill was renewed using the waste-reinterment method to treat inappropriately filled wastes of 60,000 m³, secure an extra capacity of 20,000 m³ (equivalent to 10 years) and create a space for new landfill for approximately 15 years as shown in Figure 3 (Sasai,H.(2001)).

4. SELECTING RENEWAL METHODS

Of these methods, the most appropriate method should be selected. A flow chart has been proposed for selecting renewal methods from technological points of view (Higuchi,S.(2002)). In this study, a flow shown in Figure. 4 is proposed, which is based on the applicability and legal




Figure 4. Flow for selecting renewal method

restrictions. First, it is judged whether it is necessary to obtain permission for alteration by the Waste Disposal and Public Cleansing Law. Then, the availability of acquiring land, the compliance of the existing structures with the standards and regulations in effects, and the necessity of renovating bottom liners are decided to select methods. This selection flow is a mere draft that has been drawn up based on the overview of the methods, construction procedures, applicability and problems involved. The flow should be further refined by conducting qualitative evaluations and feasibility studies.

5. CONCLUSIONS

From above mentioned study, following conclusions are obtained.

- Various attempts for renewing and prolonging the lifetime of existing waste disposal landfills have been conducted by many municipal governments and researchers in Japan.
- These attempts are summarized into five groups, including two that mainly involve reduction in waste volume, which are the compression and re-treatment methods, and three for increasing the capacities of the landfills, which were bank-raising, renovation, and wastereinterment methods.
- The applicability of these methods were examined, and a flow was proposed for selecting appropriate method by considering related laws and regulations of Japan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study is based on the study conducted by 2002 Construction Study Project of the Landfill Systems & Technologies Research Association of Japan, NPO. We thank all people involved in this study.

REFERENCES

- Hanajima, M., et al. (1981) Study on urban waste treatment method (waste-reinterment method) (in Japanese), *Environmental Issue Symposium of the Japan Society of Civil Engineers (No. 50)*, pp. 48-53.
- Higuchi, S. et al. (1992) Development of renewal methods for waste disposal landfills (in Japanese), *Proceedings of the 13th conference on urban cleansing*, pp. 183-185.
- Higuchi, S. (2002) Prolonging the lifetime of waste disposal landfill by re-treating and recycling filled-in wastes (in Japanese), *Water-proof Journal*, pp. 114-118.
- Isahaya City, Nagasaki Prefecture (2003) Treatment of wastes filled in old landfill (in Japanese), *Monthly Journal Wastes (June 2003)*, pp. 27-29.
- Kameyama City, Mie Prefecture. (2003) Introduction of new renewal system for waste disposal landfill (in Japanese), *Monthly Journal Wastes (March 2003)*, pp. 31-33.
- Ministry of Health and Welfare (1992) Development and research of new technologies and systems for treating wastes in large cities, *Research investigation on new systems for treating wastes in the Tokyo metropolitan area (in Japanese)*,pp.67-70.

- Nikkei Construction (2002) Prolonging the lifetime of waste disposal landfills (reducing the volume of wastes in Kamiide Landfill, Akashi Kaihatsu) (in Japanese), pp. 58-59.
- Oshikata, T. (1991) Text on ultimate waste disposal landfills for study meetings of regional blocks of technical managers of waste disposal facilities of 1991 (in Japanese), Japan Environmental Sanitation Center, pp. 50-54.
- Sasai, H. (2001) Renewal of the landfill (in Japanese), New Policies, May.
- The Landfill Systems & Technologies Research Association of Japan, Ed. (1999) Waste Disposal Landfill Technology Systems Handbook (in Japanese), pp. 635-638.